

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

DR. KLAUS ZUCHOLL, ROLAND SCHMALZ and ELMAR OTT

U.S. Serial No.: 10/849,030

Group Art Unit: 1746

Filed: May 20, 2004

Examiner: Jason Paul Riggleman

For: HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCE

October 9, 2007

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.133(b), Applicants supplement the description of the interview conducted on October 3, 2007, between Examiner Riggleman and Patrick R. Delaney, Esq. The differences between the invention and the prior art was discussed, including particularly Chan et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,500,050. The other prior art cited in the final Office Action was not specifically discussed as the discussion focused upon claims 16 and 17, against which only Chan et al. was applied.

It was explained that in Chan et al., only fresh water from an outside water source was used to dissolve the detergent in the apparatus disclosed in that reference and explained that claim 16

DR. KLAUS ZUCHOLL, et al. U.S. Application Serial No.: 10/849,030

could be modified to conform more closely to the embodiment disclosed in figure 1 of the specification by incorporating language reflecting a washing area, a first wash liquid circuit and a second wash liquid circuit. Examiner Riggleman agreed that this would help distinguish claim 16 from Chan et al.

Examiner Riggleman was very helpful in explaining his preference for adding certain other elements to claim 16, and modifying select other terms to avoid any potential issue with a term being indefinite. He explained his preference for the term partial flow in claim 16 and asked that claim 16 be modified to include a sensor element. Examiner Riggleman also noted that the conduit in element (c) of claim 16 was preferably specified as a bypass conduit. He also explained that the term connecting as used in claim 16 might be indefinite and asked that it be replaced with the alternative language, in fluid connection with, to clarify the meaning of that term.

In addition, Ex. Riggleman pointed out that a controller is normally programmed to have a function carried out rather than performing an actual function itself and asked that the controller element in claim 16 be modified to reflect this.

At the end of the interview, Examiner Riggleman was also very helpful in volunteering to further consider a claim amendment that incorporated the proposed limitations discussed during the course of the interview.

Examiner Riggleman's time and effort in advancing the prosecution of this application is very much appreciated by Applicants and the undersigned attorney.

DR. KLAUS ZUCHOLL, et al. U.S. Application Serial No.: 10/849,030

Respectfully submitted,

BRENEMAN & GEORGES

By:

Patrick R. Delaney Reg. No. 45,338

3150 Commonwealth Avenue

Alexandria, VA 22305 Tel.: (703) 683-8006 Fax: (703) 683-8009 OCT 0 9 2007

Typed or printed name

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no per		PTO/SB/21 (10-07) Approved for use through 10/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031 Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE illection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.		
	Application Number	10/849,030		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	May 20, 2004 ZUCHOLL 1746 Jason P. Rigglemen		
FORM	First Named Inventor			
	Art Unit			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name			
Total Number of Pages In This Submission	Attorney Docket Number			
EN	ICLOSURES (Check all	that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to TC		
		Appeal Communication to Board		

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)										
	Fee Trans	smittal Form	1		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC		
	∐ Fe	e Attached	Attached		Licensing-related Papers	pers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
	Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Add Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks		on Revocation Indence Address	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Statement of the Substance of Interview				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT										
Firm Name Breneman & Georges										
Signat	Signature 22									
Printed	Printed name Patrick R. Delaney									
Date October 9, 2007				Reg. No.	45,338					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on										
the date shown below: Signature										

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/for suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date